

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/608,684		06/27/2003	Bryan L. Ackerman	J-3743	8287
28165	7590	03/01/2005		EXAMINER	
		SON, INC.	EDWARDS, LAURA ESTELLE		
1525 HOW RACINE,				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				1734	
				DATE MAILED: 03/01/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			m
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
_	10/608,684	ACKERMAN, BRYAN	L.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Laura Edwards	1734	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence addres	S
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days of If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory is Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION.  CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a lion.  s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become At	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commu BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.
Status			
<ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur</li> </ul>	This action is non-final.  Ilowance except for formal mat	• •	rits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Example Applicant may not request that any objected to by the Example Application Papers  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the case of the Application of the Claim(s) is/are: a) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example Applicant may not request that any objection of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the case of the Application of the Claim (s) is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the case of the Application of the Claim (s) is/are pending in the application (s) is/are allowed.	is/are withdrawn from consider and/or election requirement.  aminer.  accepted or b) objected to the drawing(s) be held in abeyar correction is required if the drawing	by the Examiner. nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International B  * See the attached detailed Office action for	nments have been received. Iments have been received in A e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stag	je
Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date	
<ul> <li>33) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5 Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>121304</u>.</li> </ul>		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	)

AS

Art Unit: 1734

## Withdrawal of Allowable Subject Matter

The indicated allowability of claims 12 and 13 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference to Frick et al. A rejection based on the newly cited reference is discussed in detail below.

## Claim Objections

Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informality: in line 2, "adjace" should be changed to --adjacent--. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 11, 12, 14, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Frick et al (US 4,281,619).

Frick et al provide an apparatus for applying an adhesive to a substrate comprising a housing (10) having an inlet passage (1), a plurality of dispensing passages with exit openings (16a 13a), and a recess (not numbered) in fluid communication between the inlet passage and the exit openings; a rotatable valve element (20) disposed in the recess, the valve element having a plurality of apertured sections (13, 16) each in alignment with a dispensing passage wherein a first one (13) of the apertured sections is disposed in a direction opposite of a second one (16) of

Art Unit: 1734

the apertured sections to define apertured sections circumferentially offset or misaligned with respect one another (see Fig. 3).

With respect to claim 14, there are two apertured sections (13, 16) and two dispensing passages (13a and 16a).

With respect to claim 15, as shown in Fig. 3, a portion of valve (20) having apertured section (13) aligned with a dispensing passage including exit opening (13a) are in alignment, adhesive is dispensed from the valve onto a substrate (not shown).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Frick et al (US 4, 281,619).

Application/Control Number: 10/608,684 Page 4

Art Unit: 1734

The teachings of Frick et al have been mentioned above but Frick et al appear to further suggest that the apertured sections may be disposed about 180 degrees apart as evidenced by col. 2, lines 60-67. Frick et al are silent concerning setting the apertured sections apart by 90 degrees. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide apertured sections less of a distance apart, including 90 degrees, in order to reduce the time needed to supply and recycle adhesive, thereby lowering manufacturing costs.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura Edwards whose telephone number is (571) 272-1227. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached on (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Laura Edwards
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1734

Le

February 25, 2005